XMM-NEWTON SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF SWIFT J1727.8-1613 ACROSS THE SOFT-TO-HARD TRANSITION.

Maïmouna Brigitte
PhD student with Jiří Svoboda

Collaborators: Noel Castro Segura, María Díaz Trigo, Stefanie Fijma, Christian Knigge and Jakob Van den Eijnden

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS
Charles University

asu Astronomical Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences

Credit John Paice

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The source: the LMXB Swift J1727.8 – 1613



Credits: Hynes, http://www.astro.soton.ac.uk/ ~rih/.

❑ Low Mass X-ray binary (LMXB)

- d = 3.4 - $5.5^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$ kpc
- i ≤ 74°
- Porb = 10.8 h

Burridge et al. 2025
Mata Sanchez et al. 2024, 2025
Wood et al. 2024

Early K-type star

- 0.6 $M_\odot$ < Mstar < 0.9 $M_\odot$

Stellar Black hole

The source: the LMXB Swift J1727.8 – 1613



König et al. 2026

- Low Mass X-ray binary (LMXB)
  - d = 3.4 - $5.5^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$ kpc
  - i ≤ 74°
  - Porb = 10.8 h

Burridge et al. 2025
Mata Sanchez et al. 2024, 2025
Wood et al. 2024

Early K-type star

- 0.6 M⊙ < Mstar < 0.9 M⊙

Stellar Black hole

The source: the LMXB Swift J1727.8 – 1613



radio jet

Wood et al. 2024

- Low Mass X-ray binary (LMXB)
  - $d = 3.4 - 5.5^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$ kpc
  - $i \leq 74°$
  - Porb = 10.8 h

Burridge et al. 2025
Mata Sanchez et al. 2024, 2025
Wood et al. 2024

Early K-type star

- $0.6\ M_\odot < Mstar < 0.9\ M_\odot$

Stellar Black hole

OBSERVATIONS

with XMM-Newton

Multi-λ Epochs	X-Shooter VIS, (559.5-1024 nm)	XMM – Newton ~ 0.15 - 12 keV
1	2023-08-27T00:10:18.635	-
2	2023-09-04T01:12:32.849	-
3	2023-09-14T00:41:44.378	-
4	NTT Data 2023-09	-
5	2023-09-26T00:12:08.807	-
6	2023-10-01T23:26:04.648	-
7	2023-10-07T23:48:45.286	-
8	2023-10-14T00:17:43.073	-
9	2023-10-17T00:10:29.920	-
10a	2023-10-21T23:56:36.653	-
10b	2023-10-22T00:12:49.093	-
11	2024-02-29T08:54:11.836	2024-02-26T14:03:35
12	2024-03-24T08:29:31.612	2024-03-24T23:26:04 2024-03-27T11:57:40

See Castro Segura et al. 2026

Observations with XMM-Newton





★ 3 observations with XMM: 61ks, 20ks, 50ks

★ soft-to-hard transition

★ Fx ~ 1.5 x 10−9 erg s−1 cm−2

EPIC-PN MODELLING

of the EPIC-pn spectra to fit the continuum

Epoch XXM1

TbFeO * nthComp * KYNBB * xillverCp

Brigitte et al. submitted



χ2 /dof ≈ 1.06		
Component	Parameter [units]	Value
TbFeO	nH [10^{22} cm-2] O Fe	0.2 (f) 0.8 (f) 0.8 (f)
nthComp	Γ kTe [keV] kTbb [keV] N	**2.1 ± 0.2** 100 (f) 0.3 (f) **0.0186 ± 5e-04**
KYNBB	a/M θ [°] Rin [r_G] M_{BH} [$M_\odot$] acc rate [Medd]	0.87 (f) 40.7 ° (f) **6.00 ± 0.05** 10 (f) **0.012 ± 0.001**
xillverCp	log ξ N	– –

Epoch XXM2

TbFeO * nthComp * KYNBB * xillverCp

Brigitte et al. submitted



χ2 /dof ≈ 1.91		
Component	Parameter [units]	Value
TbFeO	nH [10^{22} cm-2] O Fe	0.2 (f) 0.7 (f) 0.5 (f)
nthComp	Γ kTe [keV] kTbb [keV] N	**1.998 ± 0.006** 250 (f) 0.1 (f) **0.465 ± 0.005**
KYNBB	a/M θ [°] Rin [rG] MBH [M☉] acc rate [Medd]	0.87 (f) 40.7 ° (f) **8.8 ± 0.3** 10 (f) **0.004 ± 0.001**
xillverCp	log ξ N	**2.6 ± 0.4** **2e-04 ± 8e-05**

Epoch XXM3

TbFeO * nthComp * KYNBB * xillverCp

Brigitte et al. submitted



χ2 /dof ≈ 1.37		
Component	Parameter [units]	Value
TbFeO	nH [10^{22} cm-2] O Fe	0.2 (f) 0.9 (f) 0.5 (f)
nthComp	Γ kTe [keV] kTbb [keV] N	**1.74 ± 0.01** 250 (f) 0.1 (f) **0.25 ± 0.02**
KYNBB	a/M θ [°] Rin [r_G] M_{BH} [$M_\odot$] acc rate [Medd]	0.87 (f) 40.7 ° (f) **8.9 ± 0.1** 10 (f) **0.004 ± 0.002**
xillverCp	log ξ N	**3.3 ± 0.1** **0.001 ± 1e-04**



Γ ~ 2.1
NComp ~ 0.02
Rin ~ 6 rG
Acc rate ~ 0.01

Γ ~ 1.99
NComp ~ 0.46
Rin ~ 8.8 rG
Acc rate ~ 0.004

Γ ~ 1.74
NComp ~ 0.25
Rin ~ 8.9 rG
Acc rate ~ 0.004

From soft to hard: Γ decreases, Rin increases and accretion rate decreases

⇨ Higher contribution from the corona emission, disk more truncated

RGS MODELLING

of the RGS spectra to fit the absorption and emission lines

Epoch XXM1

TbFeO * nthComp * KYNBB * xillverCp



χ2 /dof ≈ 1.38		
Component	Parameter [units]	Value
TbFeO	nH [10^{22} cm-2] O Fe	**0.2 ± 0.1** **0.8 ± 0.1** **0.8 ± 0.1**
nthComp	Γ kTe [keV] kTbb [keV] N	2.1 ± 0.2 (f) 100 (f) 0.3 (f) 0.0186 (f)
KYNBB	a/M θ [°] Rin [r_G] M_{BH} [$M_\odot$] acc rate [Medd]	0.87 (f) 40.7 ° (f) 6.00 ± 0.05 (f) 10 (f) 0.012 ± 0.001 (f)
xillverCp	log ξ N	– –

Epoch XXM2

TbFeO * nthComp * KYNBB * xillverCp



χ2 /dof ≈ 2.0		
Component	Parameter [units]	Value
TbFeO	nH [10^{22} cm-2] O Fe	**0.2 ± 0.1** **0.7 ± 0.1** **0.5 ± 0.2**
nthComp	Γ kTe [keV] kTbb [keV] N	1.998 (f) 250 (f) 0.1 (f) 0.465 (f)
KYNBB	a/M θ [°] Rin [r_G] M_{BH} [$M_\odot$] acc rate [Medd]	0.87 (f) 40.7 ° (f) 8.8 (f) 10 (f) 0.004 (f)
xillverCp	log ξ N	2.6 (f) 2e-04 (f)

Epoch XXM3

TbFeO * nthComp * KYNBB * xillverCp

Brigitte et al. submitted



χ2 /dof ≈ 1.15		
Component	Parameter [units]	Value
TbFeO	nH [10^{22} cm-2] O Fe	**0.2 ± 0.1** **0.9 ± 0.1** **0.5 ± 0.2**
nthComp	Γ kTe [keV] kTbb [keV] N	1.74 (f) 250 (f) 0.1 (f) 0.25 (f)
KYNBB	a/M θ [°] Rin [rG] MBH [M⊙] acc rate [Medd]	0.87 (f) 40.7 ° (f) 8.9 (f) 10 (f) 0.004 (f)
xillverCp	log ξ N	3.3 (f) 0.001 (f)







nH ~ 0.26 x 10^{22} cm-2
O ~ 0.8
Fe ~ 0.8

nH ~ 0.26 x 10^{22} cm-2
O ~ 0.7
Fe ~ 0.5

nH ~ 0.26 x 10^{22} cm-2
O ~ 0.9
Fe ~ 0.5

⇨ ISM absorption consistent except for the Fe abundance, most probably instrumental

RGS SPECTROSCOPY



- Absorption lines:
- C He
- N VI
- O edge
- Fe I

- Vshift < 100 km/s

- ISM gas and low-ionization gas

Brigitte et al. submitted

RGS



see Castro Segura et al. 2026

ption lines:

e

< 100 km/s

as and
nization gas

omitted

NEW ATHENA

prospects with the X-IFU spectrograph

SIMULATIONS OF SWIFT J1727 WITH X-IFU



- In the soft-to-hard transition
- 5ks of exposure time
- Fx ~ 5e-10 erg/cm2/s (2–10 keV)
- SNR ~ 42

- Neon, Magnesium complex
- Emission lines can trace an ionised outflow

0.85–1 keV

REGIONS OF INTEREST





- Silicon complex and Aluminium complex

⇒ O, Ne, Mg, Si absorption edges trace the column density and ionization of the absorbing material

1.5–2.3 keV

REGIONS OF INTEREST





ISM ABSORPTION

- Real variation or from calibration?
- O, Fe abundances
- O edge
- Dust
- Clean separation from intrinsic absorption

ISM ABSORPTION

- Real variation or from calibration?
- O, Fe abundances
- O edge
- Dust
- Clean separation from intrinsic absorption

R_{IN} EVOLUTION

- Model dependent
- Truncation evolution in the lower branch of the HID even in transitional states

ISM ABSORPTION

- Real variation or from calibration?
- O, Fe abundances
- O edge
- Dust
- Clean separation from intrinsic absorption

R_{IN} EVOLUTION

- Model dependent
- Truncation evolution in the lower branch of the HID even in transitional states

DISK WIND LINES

- Better spectral resolution for weak winds
- Lower exposure time can access weak lines from weakly-ionised gas

NEW ATHENA UPCOMING CONFERENCE IN PRAGUE



NewATHENA science conference

2027, April, 19-23
Institute of Physics of Prague

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Image credit: ESA